UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

HOUSTON DIVISION

CAROL GLOCK, Individually and on Behalf	§	Civil Action No. 4:20-cv-03928
of All Others Similarly Situated,	§	
	§	CLASS ACTION
Plaintiff,	§	Judge Lee H. Rosenthal
vs.	§	Judge Lee II. Rosenulai
¥3.	§ s	
FTS INTERNATIONAL, INC., et al.,	8	
	8	
Defendants.	8 8	
	3 8	
	_ 0	

DECLARATION OF JOE KENDALL FILED ON BEHALF OF KENDALL LAW GROUP, PLLC IN SUPPORT OF APPLICATION FOR AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND EXPENSES

Case 4:20-cv-03928 Document 25 Filed on 02/17/21 in TXSD Page 2 of 4

I, Joe Kendall, declare as follows:

1. I am the owner of the firm of Kendall Law Group, PLLC ("KLG"). I am submitting this declaration in support of my firm's application for an award of attorneys' fees and expenses/charges ("expenses") in connection with services rendered in the above-entitled action.

2. This firm is Local Texas counsel of record for plaintiff Carol Glock.

3. The information in this declaration regarding the firm's time and expenses is taken from time and expense printouts and supporting documentation prepared and/or maintained by the firm in the ordinary course of business. I am the attorney who oversaw and/or conducted the day-today activities in the litigation and I reviewed these printouts (and backup documentation where necessary or appropriate) in connection with the preparation of this declaration. The purpose of this review was to confirm both the accuracy of the entries on the printouts as well as the necessity for, and reasonableness of, the time and expenses committed to the litigation. As a result of this review, I believe that the time reflected in KLG's lodestar calculation and the expenses for which payment is sought as set forth in this declaration are reasonable in amount and were necessary for the effective and efficient prosecution and resolution of the litigation. In addition, I believe that the expenses are all of a type that would normally be charged to a fee-paying client in the private legal marketplace.

4. The number of hours spent on this litigation by KLG is 53.7 hours. A breakdown of the lodestar is provided in Exhibit A. The lodestar amount for attorney time based on the firm's current rates is \$45,645.00. The hourly rates shown in Exhibit A are the usual and customary rates set by the firm for each individual.

5. My firm seeks an award of \$3,018.64 in expenses and charges in connection with the prosecution of the litigation. Those expenses and charges are summarized by category in Exhibit B.

6. The following is additional information regarding certain of these expenses:

- 1 -

Case 4:20-cv-03928 Document 25 Filed on 02/17/21 in TXSD Page 3 of 4

(a) Filing, Witness and Other Fees: \$2,723.65. These expenses have been paid to the Court for filing fees and to attorney service firms or individuals who served process of the Original Petition or subpoenas. The vendors who were paid for these services are set forth in Exhibit C.

7. The expenses pertaining to this case are reflected in the books and records of this firm. These books and records are prepared from receipts, expense vouchers, check records and other documents and are an accurate record of the expenses.

8. The identification and background of my firm is attached hereto as Exhibit D.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 9th day of February, 2021, at Dallas, Texas.

Jee Kendall

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify under penalty of perjury that on February 17, 2021, I authorized the electronic filing of the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the e-mail addresses on the Electronic Mail Notice List.

s/ Scott H. Saham SCOTT H. SAHAM

ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP 655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101-8498 Telephone: 619/231-1058 619/231-7423 (fax)

E-mail: scotts@rgrdlaw.com

Case 4:20-cv-03928 Document 25-1 Filed on 02/17/21 in TXSD Page 1 of 2

EXHIBIT A

Case 4:20-cv-03928 Document 25-1 Filed on 02/17/21 in TXSD Page 2 of 2

EXHIBIT A

Carol Glock v. FTS International, Inc., et al., No. 4:20-cv-03928 Kendall Law Group, PLLC Inception through December 8, 2020

NAME		HOURS	RATE	LODESTAR
Joe Kendall	(P)	53.7	850.00	\$45,645.00
TOTAL				
		53.7	\$850.00	\$45,645.00

Case 4:20-cv-03928 Document 25-2 Filed on 02/17/21 in TXSD Page 1 of 2

EXHIBIT B

EXHIBIT B

Carol Glock v. FTS International, Inc., et al., No. 4:20-cv-03928 Kendall Law Group, PLLC Inception through December 8, 2020

CATEGORY	AMOUNT
Filing, Witness and Other Fees	\$2,723.65
Postage	\$88.00
Messenger, Overnight Delivery	\$206.99
TOTAL	\$3,018.64

Case 4:20-cv-03928 Document 25-3 Filed on 02/17/21 in TXSD Page 1 of 2

EXHIBIT C

EXHIBIT C

Carol Glock v. FTS International, Inc., et al., No. 4:20-cv-03928 Kendall Law Group, PLLC

Filing, Witness and Other Fees: \$2,723.65

DATE	VENDOR	PURPOSE
02/22/2019	Efile TX	Filing Fee – Original Petition
03/02/2019	Special Delivery	Process Service – Original Petition –
		CHK Energy Holdings, Inc.; Morgan
		Stanley & Co.; Credit Suisse Securities
12/05/2019	Efile TX	Filing Fee – Jury Fee
02/15/2020	Special Delivery	Process Service – 9 Subpoenas
03/07/2020	Special Delivery	Process Service – 2 Subpoenas
03/13/2020	Efile TX	Filing Fee – Court of Appeals – Pro Hac
		Vice Motion for Andrew Love
03/17/2020	Efile TX	Filing Fee – Court of Appeals - Motion
07/23/2020	USDC Bankruptcy NDTX	Filing Fee – Pro Hac Vice Motion for
		Brian O'Mara
07/23/2020	USDC Bankruptcy NDTX	Filing Fee – Pro Hac Vice Motion for
		Scott Saham
07/23/2020	USDC Bankruptcy NDTX	Filing Fee – Pro Hac Vice Motion for
		Kevin Sciarani

Case 4:20-cv-03928 Document 25-4 Filed on 02/17/21 in TXSD Page 1 of 10

EXHIBIT D



FIRM RESUME

Kendall Law Group was founded by former federal judge Joe Kendall. It is a boutique trial law firm. Led by Judge Kendall, the firm brings value-added assistance to their clients in complex class action, securities, and business litigation matters.

Since 2002, in class action securities fraud cases, the lawyers at Kendall Law Group have participated in obtaining over \$1 billion dollar for shareholders. The Kendall Law Group has served as lead, co-lead, or local counsel in numerous merger & acquisition, derivative, and securities fraud class action matters, including: The George Leon Family Trust, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated v. Chicago Bridge & Iron Company N.V., et al., Lead Case No. 4:18-cv-0273 (S.D.Tex.); Mona Abouzied, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated v. Applied Optoelectronics, Inc., et al., Civil Action No. 4:17-cv-02399 (S.D. Tex.); Pedro Ramirez, Jr. Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated v. Exxon Mobil Corporation, et al., Case No. 3-16-cv-3111-K (N.D. Tex.); Anthony Giovagnoli, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated v. GlobalSCAPE, et al., Case No. 5:17-cv-00753 (S.D. Tex.); City of Pontiac General Employees' Retirement System, Individually and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated v. Hanger, Inc., et al., Case No. A-14-CA-1026-SS (W.D. Tex.); Mary McCloskey, Individually and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated v. Match Group, Inc., et al., Civil Action No. 3:16-cv-00549-S (N.D. Tex.); Anton Steyn, Derivatively on Behalf of 3D Systems Corporation v. Abraham N. Reichental, et al., Case No. 2015-CP-46-2225 (16th Judicial Circuit Court of Common Pleas, South Carolina); In re United Development Funding IV Securities Litigation, Master File No. 3:15cv-4030-M (N.D. Tex.); Richard J. Isolde, Individually and on Behalf of all Others Similarly

Situated, v. Trinity Industries, Inc., et al., Civil No. 3:15-CV-2093-K, (N.D. Tex.); In re EZCORP, Inc. Securities Litigation, Master File No. 1:15-cv-00608-SS, (W.D. Tex.); Yochanan Markman, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, v. Whole Foods Market, Inc., et al., Civil Action No. 1:15-cv-00681-LY, (W.D. Tex.); In re BP plc Securities Litigation, Civil Action Nos. 4:10-md-02185, 4:12-cv-3714, 4:12-cv-3715, 4:15-cv-02704, (S.D. Tex.); Edward Ogden, Derivatively on Behalf of Cobalt International Energy Inc. v. Joseph H. Bryant, et al., Civil Action No. 4:15-cv-00139 (S.D. Tex.); City of Pontiac General Employees' Retirement System v. Dell Inc., et al., Civil Action No. 1:15-cv-00374-LY (W.D. Tex.); Margaret Budde and Daniel Ream, Individually and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated v. Global Power Equipment Group, Inc., et al., Civil Action No. 3:15-cv-1679-M (W.D. Tex.); City of Pontiac General Employees' Retirement System v. Hanger, Inc., et al., Civil Action No. 1:14-cv-01026-SS (W.D. Tex.); Jan Buettgen, on Behalf of Himself and All Others Similarly Situated v. Katherine J. Harless, et al., Civil Action No. 3:09-cv-00791-K (N.D. Tex.); In re Key Energy Services, Inc. Securities Litigation, Civil Action No. 4:14-cv-2368 (S.D. Tex.); In re Kosmos Energy Ltd Securities Litigation, Civil Action No. 3:12-cv-373 (N.D. Tex.); Nasser Moradi, et al., v. Sheldon Gary Adelson, et al., Case No. 2:11cv-490 (D. Nevada); In re Life Partners Holdings, Inc. Derivative Litigation, Civil Action No. 2:11cv-00043 (W.D. Tex.); Mary K. Jones v. Pfizer Inc., et al., Civil Action No. 1:10-cv-03864 (S.D.N.Y.); Richard Steck v. Santander Consumer USA Holdings Inc., et al., Civil Action No. 3:15cv-2129 (N.D. Tex.); Justin Pierce and Hillary Kay, Derivatively on Behalf of AT&T Inc. v. Randall L. Stephenson, et al., Cause No. DC-14-13645, (193rd District Court, Dallas County, Texas); Jacob Hulsebus, et al. v. Belo Corp., et al., Cause No. DC-13-06601, (68th District Court, Dallas County, Texas); Ron Phillips and Scott Moorehead, Derivatively on Behalf of CLST Holdings, Inc., v. *Timothy S. Durham, et al.*, Cause No. DC-10-07655 (134th District Court, Dallas County, Texas);

Regan Held, et al., v. C. Kelly Hall, et al., Cause No. CC-11-05258-D, (County Court No. 4, Dallas County, Texas); David Flecker, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated and Derivatively on Behalf of Pioneer Southwest Energy Partners L.P., Cause No. DC-13-05371-G (134th District Court, Dallas County, Texas); In re U.S. Home Systems, Inc. Shareholder Litigation, Cause No. CC-12-04962-B (County Court No. 2, Dallas County, Texas); Terry Neff, Derivatively on Behalf of Weatherford International Ltd., et al., v. Nicholas F. Brady, et al., Cause No. 2010-40764 (270th District Court, Harris County, Texas); In re Burlington Northern Santa Fe Corporation Shareholder Class Action Litigation, Cause No. 348-241465-09, (348th District Court, Tarrant County, Texas) In re Affiliated Computer Services Derivative Litigation, Master File No. 3:06-cv-1110-M (N.D. Tex.); In re Cablevision Systems Corp. Shareholder Derivative Litigation, Master File No. CV-06-4130 (E.D.N.Y.); Ryan v. Flowserve Corp., Civil Action No. 3:03-CV-01769 (N.D. Tex.); Blackmoss Investments v. Gravity Corp., et. al., Civil Action No. 1:05-CV-04804-LAP (S.D.N.Y.); In re Guidant Corp. Securities Litigation, Master File No. 1:05- CV-01658-SEB-WTL (S.D. Ind.); In re 7-Eleven Shareholders Litigation, No. 05-089344-M (District Court Dallas County, Texas); In re Impac Mortgage Holdings, Inc., Case No. 8:06-cv-00091-CJC-RNB (C.D. Cal.); In re Fossil Derivative Litigation, Cause No. 3:06-cv-01672-P (N.D. Tex.); Dillingham v. Schmitz, Cause No. 2005C119934 (288th District Court, Bexar County, Texas); Alaska Electrical Pension Fund v. Brown, et al., Cause No. 6:04-CV-464 (E.D. Tex.); Holowach v. Gilliland, et al., Cause No. 017-221963-07 (17th District Court, Tarrant County, Texas); Levy Investments v. Donald Steen, et al., Cause No. DC-07-00208 (101st District Court Dallas County, Texas); In re Petco Animal Supplies, Inc., Shareholder Litigation, Case No. GIC 869399 (Superior Court, San Diego, California); Frank Capovilla v. Lone Star Technologies, Inc., et al., Cause No. DC-07-002979 (14th District Court, Dallas County, Texas); Louis Dudas v. Encore Medical Corporation, et al., Cause No. D-1-GN-

002495 (345th District Court, Travis County, Texas); *Waggoner v. Ryan, et al,* Cause No. CC-05-13893 (County Court at Law No. 2, Dallas County, Texas); *Evans v. Paulson, et al.*, Cause No. 05-01818-JMR-FLN (D. Minn.); In *re Accuray, Inc. Shareholder Derivative* Litigation, Case No. C 09 05580 CW (N.D. Cal.); *In re Microtune, Inc. Litigation,* Cause No. 219-03729-2010 (219th District Court, Collin County, Texas); *Edward Ferguson v. Louis Raspino, et al.*, Cause No. 2010-23805 (281st District Court, Harris County, Texas); *In re Duncan Energy Partners L.P. Shareholder Litigation*, Cause No. 2011-13981 (269th District Court, Harris County, Texas); and others.

JOE KENDALL

Former United States District Judge Joe Kendall is the managing partner of Kendall Law Group. Mr. Kendall served on the federal bench in the Northern District of Texas from 1992-2002, appointed by President George Herbert Walker Bush. He was unanimously confirmed by the U.S. Senate. At the time of his appointment, he was the youngest U.S. District Judge in the country. He also served as a state district judge on the 195th Judicial District Court in Dallas from 1987-1992. In his judicial career, he has presided over approximately 500 jury trials and disposed of over 11,000 cases. Mr. Kendall has a B.B.A. from the Cox School of Business at Southern Methodist University and a law degree from Baylor University. Mr. Kendall served as a Commissioner on the United States Sentencing Commission from 1999 through 2002, appointed by President Bill Clinton.

Since leaving the bench and returning to trial work, Mr. Kendall has had tremendous success at the prosecution of patent, consumer and securities class action litigation either as lead, co-lead or local counsel.

While on the federal bench, Mr. Kendall handled numerous class actions of various types and presided over in excess of 100 civil jury trials, including complex litigation, securities, antitrust, qui tam, medical malpractice, products liability, and patent infringement cases. He presided over a multi-district litigation case, and also handled environmental and CERCLA cases. Author of more than 250 judicial opinions published in the federal reporters or legal research databases, his more notable cases include, *Ozee v. American Council on Gift Annuities* (an antitrust class action against the most prominent charities in the nation), *SBC Communications v. AT&T* (to determine the constitutionality of certain provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996), *American Airlines, Inc. v. Allied Pilots Association* (the pilots sickout dispute in 1999), and *Johnson v. City of Dallas* (a case brought by homeless persons to determine the constitutionality of a city ordinance prohibiting

Case 4:20-cv-03928 Document 25-4 Filed on 02/17/21 in TXSD Page 7 of 10

sleeping in public). In his career as a lawyer, Mr. Kendall has tried more than 100 jury trials to judgment.

Additionally, Mr. Kendall taught new federal judges for the Federal Judicial Center in Washington, D.C. and has taught docket management techniques to experienced federal judges throughout the country. He is a former board member of the Federal Judges Association and was editor of *In Camera*, the newsletter of the Federal Judges Association.

1. Sharju Ltd. Partnership v. Choice Hotels International, Inc., Case No. 3:01-CV-2605-X	2. Smith v. Nine West Group, Inc., Case No. 3:98-CV-1331-X.
3. BKS Properties v. Shumate, 271 B.R. 794.	4. Jones v. Prime, Inc., Case No. 3:99-CV-1514-X.
5. Kennedy v. Barnhart, Case No. 3:00-CV-2472-X.	6. Sentry Insurance Co. v. Greenleaf Software, Inc., Case No. 3:99-CV-1232-X.
7. Loosier v. Anderson, Case No. 3:00-CV-2528-X.	8. Poindexter v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co., Case No. 3:99-CV-0262-X.
9. Gibson v. Atlantic Southeast Airlines, Case No. 3:00-CV-2712-X.	10. Whitworth v. TNT Bestway Transportation, Inc., Case No. 3:96-CV-0382-X.
11. Methodist Hospitals v. Prudential Healthcare, Case No. 3:01-CV-1999-X.	12. Media Farm, Inc. v. eToll, Inc., Case No. 3:99-CV-2578-X.
13. McMillon v. Fleming, Case No. 4:01-CV-815-X.	14. In re Great Southern Life Insurance Co. Sales Practices Litigation, MDL No. 1214.
15. United States v. Morris, 176 F. Supp.2d 668.	16. Martin v. United States Postal Service, Case No. 3:99-CV-0670-X.
17. Smith v. Tarrant County, Case No. 4:99-CV-0657- X.	18. Taylor v. Underwood, Case No. 3:99-CV-2632-X.
19. Wilkerson v. Wells Fargo Bank, Case No. 3:01- CV-0117-X.	20. Harrison v. City of Dallas, Case No. 3:99-CV- 1209-X.
21. Martinez v. 291st Judicial District Court, Case No. 3:01-CV-1907-X.	22. Kondos Employee Health Care Plan v. First Integrated Health, Inc., Case No. 3:99-CV-2190-X.
23. Ventura Vera v. Estrada, Case No. 3:01-CV-1044- X.	24. Jones v. Fujitsu Network Communications, Inc., 81 F. Supp.2d 688.
25. Patt v. Sweetheart Cup Co., Case No. 3:99-CV-2443-X.	26. Sims v. Ware, Case No. 3:95-CV-177-X.

SIGNIFICANT DECISIONS OF JUDGE JOE KENDALL

27. Ross v. Massanari, Case No. 3:00-CV-2454-X.	28. United States v. Hughes, 71 F. Supp.2d 605.
29. Cunningham v. Gibson, Case No. 3:01-CV-1522-X.	30. American Airlines, Inc. v. Allied Pilots Association, 53 F. Supp.2d 909.
31. Ramirez v. Cornet Enterprises, Inc., Case No. 3:01-CV-1317-X.	32. Barrett v. Clarendon National Insurance Co., Case No. 3:93-CV-1451-X.
33. Collins v. Merck-Medco Prescription Services of Texas, LLC, Case No. 3:00-CV-1852-X.	34. Heritage Worldwide, Inc. v. World Color Press, Inc., Case No. 3:96-CV-3356-X.
35. Cunningham v. Bienfang, Case No. 3:00-CV-0448- X.	36. Seawright v. Charter Furniture Rental, Inc., 39 F. Supp.2d 795.
37. Transamerica Equipment Financial Services Corp. v. Amwest Surety Insurance Co., Case No. 3:00-CV- 2321-X.	38. Lockhart v. AT&T, Case No. 3:97-CV-3021-X.
39. Securities & Exchange Commission v. Bachani, Case No. 3:01-CV-1744.	40. Golman-Hayden Co. v. Fresh Source Produce, 27 F. Supp.2d 723.
41. Rogers v. Paquet, Case No. 3:01-CV-0969-X.	42. Mayes v. LIN TV, Case No. 3:96-CV-0396-X.
43. PriceWaterhouse Coopers, LLP v. Litzler (In re Harbor Financial Group, Inc.), Case No. 3:00-CV- 1283-X.	44. Gossom v. J.O.S., Inc., Case No. 3:96-CV-0374-X.
45. Washington International v. Industry Insurance Co., Case No.3:00-CV-0196-X.	46. Morse v. Escobedo, Case No. 3:98-CV-0686-X.
47. Estep v. Dallas County, Case No. 3:95-CV-0799- X.	48. Richardson v. Apfel, 9 F. Supp.2d 666.
49. Seppy v. City of Irving, Case No.3:00-CV-0386-X.	50. Myers v. Top Tobacco Co., L.P., Case No. 3:97- CV-2464-X.
51. Friday v. Halter, Case No. 3:01-CV-0901X.	52. Colbert v. Georgia-Pacific Corp., 995 F. Supp. 697.
53. Rodriguez v. Snider, Case No. 3:01-CV-0688-X.	54. ARA Automobile Group v. Central Garage, Case No. 3:89-CV-1389.
55. Kisiel v. RAS Securities Corp., Case No. 3:01-CV-0294-X.	56. Hanafy v. United States, 991 F. Supp. 794.
57. Compana, L.L.C. v. Agile Software Corp., Case No. 3:01-CV-1164-X.	58. SBC Communications. v. Federal Communications Commission, 981 F. Supp. 996.
59. Guan v. Harrington, Case No. 3:00-CV-2347-X.	60. Gonzales v. Johnson, 994 F. Supp. 759.
61. Management Insights, Inc. v. APG, Inc., Case No. 3:00-CV-2779-X.	62. Dippin' Dots v. Mosey, Case No. 3:96-CV-1959-X.

63. Zurich Automobile Insurance Co. v. Boyes (In re Zurich Automobile Insurance Co.), Case No. 3:99-CV- 2350-X.	64. Waste Control Specialists v. United States Department of Energy, Case No. 7:97-CV-202-X.
65. Dalfort Aerospace, Inc. v. Airline Division of the International Brotherhood of Teamsters, Case No. 3:01-CV-006-X.	66. In re Future Communications, Inc. Securities Litigation, Case No. 3:93CV-2064X.
67. Martin Marietta Materials Southwest, Ltd v. St. Paul Guardian Insurance Co., 145 F. Supp. 2d 794.	68. Venable v. Keever, Case No. 3:96-CV-0580-X.
69. Hooks v. Hilite Industries, Case No. 3:00-CV-2358-X.	70. Richie v. American Council on Gift Annuities, 943 F. Supp. 685.
71. Weinberg v. Silber, 140 F. Supp.2d 712.	72. Joseph N. Main P.C. v. Electronic Data Systems Corp., Case No. 3: 95-CV-1993-X.
73. Johnson v. City of Dallas, 141 F. Supp.2d 645.	74. United States v. Grant, 933 F. Supp. 610.
75. Rose v. Digital Convergence Communications, Inc., Case No. 3:00-CV-2057-X.	76. Calmes v. United States, 926 F. Supp. 582.
77. Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. v. C&C Helicopter Sales, Inc., Case No. 3:00-CV-1516-X.	78. Roberts v. Dayton Hudson Corp., 914 F. Supp. 1421.
79. Johnson v. Dillard Department Stores, Inc., Case No.3:00-CV-2674-X.	80. <i>McDaniel v. Southern Pacific Transportation</i> , 932 F. Supp. 163.
81. Bank One Arizona, N.A. v. Wilton Partners Hurst, et al, Case No. 3:00-CV-2254-X.	82. Burnett Plaza Associates v. NCNB Texas National Bank, Case No. 3:89-CV-1290-X.
83. Williams v. GTE, Case No. 3:00-CV-2380-X.	84. Holdbrook v. California Federal Bank, 905 F. Supp. 367.
85. Harrison v. GMC, Case No. 3:00-CV-1272-X.	86. Nelson v. Reddy, 898 F. Supp. 409.
87. Alfaro v. Leather Center, Inc., Case No. 3:00-CV-2107-X.	88. Maxus Energy Corp. v. United States, 898 F. Supp. 399.
89. Transamerica Equipment Financial Services Corp. v. Amwest Surety Insurance Co., Case No. 3:00-CV- 2321-X.	90. DSC Communications Corp. v. DGI Technologies, 898 F. Supp. 1183.
91. Hines v. AC & S, Inc., Case No. 3:00-CV-2637X.	92. Trinity Industries v. United Steelworkers, 891 F. Supp. 342.
93. Chambless v. Travelers Lloyds of Texas Insurance Co., Case No. 3:00-CV-784-X.	94. Bluebonnet Savings Bank v. Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, 891 F. Supp. 332.
95. Mayfield-George v. Texas Rehabilitation Commission, Case No. 3:99-CV-2735-X.	96. McCratic v. Bristol-Myers Squibb & Co., Case No. 3:95-CV-1122-X.
97. Southwest Materials Handling Co. v. Nissan Motor Co., Case No. 3:98-CV-2367-X.	98. Finlan v. City of Dallas, 888 F. Supp. 779.

99. Foster v. Simpson, Case No. 3:99-CV-2293-X.	100. Ozee v. American Council on Gift Annuities, 888 F. Supp. 1318.
101. Fina Technologies, Inc. v. Ewen, Case No. 3:93- CV-2529-X.	102. Dallas Fire Fighters Association v. City of Dallas, 885 F. Supp. 915.
103. Vation v. Gainey Transportation Services, Case No.3:99-CV-2388-X.	104. Maryland Casualty Co. v. Texas Commerce Bancshares, 878 F. Supp. 939.
105. Hulett v. City of Dallas, Case No. 3:98-CV-2301- X.	106. Thornton v. Micrografx, 878 F. Supp. 931.
107. Doherty v. Center for Assisted Reproduction, P.A., 108 F. Supp.2d 672.	108. Scott v. City of Dallas, 876 F. Supp. 852.
109. Aegis v. Shared Medical Systems Corp., Case No. 3:99-CV-2697-X.	110. Johnson v. J.C. Penney Co., 876 F. Supp. 135.
111. Payne v. Powers, Case No. 3:99-CV-2182-X.	112. Black v. Callahan, 876 F. Supp. 131
113. Clear Entertainment, L.L.C. v. KPMG, L.L.P., Case No. 3:99-CV-2518-X.	114. Clark v. Collins, 870 F. Supp. 132.
115. Searcy v. Texas Universal Health Plan, Inc., Case No. 3:99-CV-2380-X.	116. Figari & Davenport, L.L.P. v. Continental Casualty Co., 864 F. Supp. 11.